

FROM MASSIVE GRAVITY TO DARK MATTER DENSITY

G. Scharf *

Institut für Theoretische Physik,
Universität Zürich,
Winterthurerstr. 190 , CH-8057 Zürich, Switzerland

Abstract

Massive gravity previously constructed as the spin-2 quantum gauge theory is studied in the classical limit. The vector graviton field v which does not decouple in the limit of vanishing graviton mass gives rise to a modification of general relativity. The modified Schwarzschild solution contains a contribution from the v -field which can be interpreted as the dark matter mass density. We calculate the corresponding density profile in the simplest spherical symmetric geometry.

*e-mail: scharf@physik.unizh.ch

1 Introduction

Massive gravity is a controversial subject because it is very difficult to construct it starting from a classical lagrangian theory. On the other hand, there exists a powerful method to find the quantum theory directly: the derivation of gauge couplings from a cohomological formulation of gauge invariance in terms of asymptotic free fields. This method works for massless and massive gauge theories equally well. For spin-1 theories this was demonstrated in the monograph [1], where the massless spin-2 case is also treated. The massive spin-2 theory was first investigated in [2]. The most elegant way to obtain the theory is by assuming the gauge invariance condition for all chronological products in the form of the descent equations [3]. These give the total interaction Lagrangian including ghost couplings and the necessary coupling to a vector-graviton field. By comparison with the couplings derived from expansion of the Einstein Lagrangian in powers of the coupling constant we observe that we really have a quantum theory of gravity. The massive spin-2 theory corresponds to the Einstein Lagrangian with a *negative* cosmological constant. So this is not directly related to the dark energy (contrary to our earlier belief).

By analogy with spin-1 one would expect that if the graviton is massive, a gravitational Higgs field would be necessary to save gauge invariance in higher orders. This would be a natural candidate for dark matter. However, the theory is gauge invariant in second order without any additional field [2], and in third order the anomalies checked so far also cancel. A gravitational Higgs seems not to exist.

There is a second option in massive gravity. A massive spin-2 particle has 5 degrees of freedom in contrast to 2 of the massless graviton. It turns out that there are even 6 physical modes in massive gravity [4]. In order to have a smooth massless limit $m \rightarrow 0$ of the massive theory, one is forced to choose the 6 physical states as follows: two of them are the transversal modes of the massless graviton, the remaining four are generated by a vector field v^λ (called vector-graviton field, see next section) which is required in the massive theory to have it gauge invariant. The surprising fact is that for $m \rightarrow 0$ this vector-graviton field v^λ does not decouple from the symmetric tensor field $h^{\mu\nu}$ which corresponds to the classical $g^{\mu\nu}$ of Einstein. Consequently, the massless limit of massive gravity is not general relativity; there is a modification due to the (now massless) vector graviton field v^λ . We will show that this modification gives rise to an additional force which looks as if it comes from a dark matter density.

2 Massive quantum gravity

The basic free asymptotic fields of massive gravity are the symmetric tensor field $h^{\mu\nu}(x)$ with arbitrary trace, the fermionic ghost $u^\mu(x)$ and anti-ghost $\tilde{u}^\mu(x)$ fields and the vector-graviton field $v^\lambda(x)$. They all satisfy the Klein-Gordon equation

$$(\square + m^2)h^{\mu\nu} = 0 = (\square + m^2)u^\mu = (\square + m^2)\tilde{u}^\mu = (\square + m^2)v^\lambda \quad (2.1)$$

and are quantized as follows [2] [3]

$$[h^{\alpha\beta}(x), h^{\mu\nu}(y)] = -ib^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} D_m(x - y) \quad (2.2)$$

with

$$b^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2}(\eta^{\alpha\mu}\eta^{\beta\nu} + \eta^{\alpha\nu}\eta^{\beta\mu} - \eta^{\alpha\beta}\eta^{\mu\nu}), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\{u^\mu(x), \tilde{u}^\nu(y)\} = i\eta^{\mu\nu} D_m(x - y) \quad (2.4)$$

$$[v^\mu(x), v^\nu(y)] = \frac{i}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} D_m(x-y), \quad (2.5)$$

and zero otherwise. Here, $D_m(x)$ is the Jordan-Pauli distribution with mass m and $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$ the Minkowski tensor.

The gauge structure on these fields is defined through a nilpotent gauge charge operator Q satisfying

$$Q^2 = 0, \quad Q\Omega = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

where Ω is the Fock vacuum and

$$d_Q h^{\mu\nu} = [Q, h^{\mu\nu}] = -\frac{i}{2} (\partial^\nu u^\mu + \partial^\mu u^\nu - \eta^{\mu\nu} \partial_\alpha u^\alpha) \quad (2.7)$$

$$d_Q u^\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{Q, u\} = 0$$

$$d_Q \tilde{u}^\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{Q, \tilde{u}^\mu\} = i(\partial_\nu h^{\mu\nu} - m v^\mu) \quad (2.8)$$

$$d_Q v^\mu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [Q, v^\mu] = -\frac{i}{2} m u^\mu. \quad (2.9)$$

The vector-graviton field v^λ is necessary for nilpotency of Q . The vacuum Ω exists on Minkowski background only, therefore, apart from simplicity this background is preferred for physical reasons.

The coupling $T(x)$ between these fields follows from the gauge invariance condition [2]

$$d_Q T(x) = i \partial_\alpha T^\alpha(x) \quad (2.10)$$

where T and T^α are normally ordered polynomials with ghost number 0 and 1, respectively. In addition we may require the descent equations

$$\partial_Q T^\alpha = [Q, T^\alpha] = i \partial_\beta T^{\alpha\beta} \quad (2.11)$$

$$[Q, T^{\alpha\beta}] = i \partial_\gamma T^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \quad (2.12)$$

where the new T 's are antisymmetric in the Lorentz indices. The essentially unique coupling derived from (2.10-12) is given by [3]

$$\begin{aligned} T = & h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha h \partial_\beta h - 2h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha h^{\mu\nu} \partial_\beta h_{\mu\nu} - 4h_{\alpha\beta} \partial_\nu h^{\beta\mu} \partial_\mu h^{\alpha\nu} \\ & - 2h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\mu h_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\mu h + 4h_{\alpha\beta} \partial^\nu h^{\alpha\mu} \partial_\nu h_\mu^\beta + 4h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha v_\lambda \partial_\beta v^\lambda \\ & + 4u^\mu \partial_\beta \tilde{u}_\nu \partial_\mu h^{\nu\beta} - 4\partial_\nu u_\beta \partial_\mu \tilde{u}^\beta h^{\mu\nu} + 4\partial_\nu u^\nu \partial_\mu \tilde{u}_\beta h^{\beta\mu} \\ & - 4\partial_\nu u^\mu \partial_\mu \tilde{u}_\beta h^{\nu\beta} - 4m u^\alpha \tilde{u}^\beta \partial_\alpha v_\beta - m^2 \left(\frac{4}{3} h_{\mu\nu} h^{\mu\beta} h_\beta^\nu \right. \\ & \left. - h^{\mu\beta} h_{\mu\beta} h + \frac{1}{6} h^3 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Here $h = h_\mu^\mu$ is the trace and a coupling constant is arbitrary. The quartic couplings follow from second order gauge invariance and so on.

We consider the limit $m \rightarrow 0$ in the following. The massless limit of massive gravity is certainly a possible alternative to general relativity. The new physics comes from the surviving coupling term of the vector-graviton

$$T_v = h^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha v_\lambda \partial_\beta v^\lambda. \quad (2.14)$$

Since all fields are now massless we may compare T_v with the photon-graviton coupling which in linear gravity is given by $h^{\alpha\beta}t_{\alpha\beta}$, where $t_{\alpha\beta}$ is the energy-momentum tensor of the electromagnetic field. It is traceless and conserved, $\partial^\alpha t_{\alpha\beta} = 0$. Expressing the field tensor $F^{\mu\nu}$ by the vector potential A^λ we get a coupling term of the form (2.14) plus four different additional terms. That means T_v is different from photon-graviton coupling, and in fact it does not come from a traceless conserved tensor $t_{\alpha\beta}$. For relativists this may be odd, but we must emphasize that our first principle is gauge invariance, general relativity is secondary.

To understand the situation better we consider a general coupling between $h^{\alpha\beta}$ and a symmetric tensor $t^{\mu\nu}$ with zero gauge variation $d_Q t^{\mu\nu} = 0$:

$$T = h^{\alpha\beta}[a(\eta_{\alpha\mu}\eta_{\beta\nu} + \eta_{\alpha\nu}\eta_{\beta\mu}) + b\eta_{\alpha\beta}\eta_{\mu\nu}]t^{\mu\nu}. \quad (2.15)$$

From (2.7) we get

$$d_Q T = -\frac{i}{2}(\partial^\alpha u^\beta + \partial^\beta u^\alpha - \eta^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\lambda u^\lambda)(2at_{\alpha\beta} + b\eta_{\alpha\beta}t_\mu^\mu)$$

which by partial integration is equal to

$$= \text{div} + iau^\beta\partial^\alpha t_{\alpha\beta} - i(a+b)u^\beta\partial_\beta t_\mu^\mu$$

where div is a divergence $i\partial_\alpha T^\alpha$. Now gauge invariance (2.10) requires the vanishing of the additional terms

$$\partial^\alpha t_{\alpha\beta} = (1 + \frac{b}{a})\partial_\beta t_\mu^\mu. \quad (2.16)$$

Using $\partial^2 v_\lambda = 0$ we see that this condition is satisfied by $t_{\alpha\beta} = \partial_\alpha v_\lambda \partial_\beta v^\lambda$ (2.14) with $a = 2$, $b = -1$ as it must be, but $t_{\alpha\beta}$ is neither conserved nor traceless.

To be able to do non-perturbative calculations in massive gravity we look for the classical theory corresponding to the coupling (2.13). It was shown in [1] sect.5.5 that the pure graviton couplings $h\partial h\partial h$ correspond to the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian

$$L_{\text{EH}} = \frac{2}{\kappa^2}\sqrt{-g}R \quad (2.17)$$

in the following sense. We write the metric tensor as

$$\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + \kappa h^{\mu\nu} \quad (2.18)$$

and expand L_{EH} in powers of κ . Then the quadratic terms $O(\kappa^0)$ give the free theory, the cubic terms $O(\kappa^1)$ agree with the pure graviton coupling terms in (2.13) up to a factor 4 and so on. The mass dependent terms are obtained if one introduces a cosmological constant $-\Lambda = m^2/2$ in (2.17) [3], the minus sign is due to the different convention in astrophysics [8]. As already said we consider the massless limit in the following.

To obtain T_v (2.14) we must add

$$L_v = \sqrt{-g}g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha v_\lambda \partial_\beta v^\lambda \quad (2.19)$$

to L_{EH} . One may be tempted to write covariant derivatives ∇_α instead of partial derivatives in order to get a true scalar under general coordinate transformations. But this would produce quartic couplings containing v_λ and such terms are absent in the quantum theory ([2], eq.(4.12)).

For the same reason the Lorentz index λ in v_λ is raised and lowered with the Minkowski tensor $\eta_{\mu\nu}$, but all other indices with $g_{\mu\nu}$. Again this might look odd to relativists, but the correct classical expression is dictated by the quantum theory not by something else. Note that the gauge variation (2.7) is just the infinitesimal form of general coordinate transformations, so that this symmetry is built in on the quantum level not on the classical one.

The total classical Lagrangian we have to study is now given by

$$L_{\text{tot}} = L_g + L_M + L_v \quad (2.20)$$

where

$$L_g = \frac{c^3}{16\pi G} \sqrt{-g} R \quad (2.21)$$

we have introduced the velocity of light and Newton's constant for later discussions. L_M is given by the energy-momentum tensor $t_{\mu\nu}$ of ordinary matter as usual. Strictly speaking we should also add a term for the ghost coupling. At present we leave this out because probably these unphysical degrees of freedom don't contribute in the classical theory.

Calculating first the variational derivative with respect to $g_{\mu\nu}$ we get the following modified Einstein equations

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R - \frac{8\pi G}{c^4}t_{\mu\nu} = \frac{16\pi G}{c^3} \left(-\partial_\mu v_\lambda \partial_\nu v^\lambda + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha v_\lambda \partial_\beta v^\lambda \right). \quad (2.22)$$

Secondly the variation with respect to v_λ gives

$$\partial_\alpha (\sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\beta v^\lambda) = 0. \quad (2.23)$$

After multiplication with $1/\sqrt{-g}$ this is the Laplace-Beltrami or rather the wave equation in the metric $g^{\alpha\beta}$. The coupled system (2.22) (2.23) is the modification of general relativity which we want to study.

3 Modified Schwarzschild solution

We wish to construct a static spherical symmetric solution of the modified field equations. Following the convention of [5] we write the metric as

$$ds^2 = e^\nu c^2 dt^2 - r^2 (d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2 \vartheta d\varphi^2) - e^\lambda dr^2 \quad (3.1)$$

where ν and λ are functions of r only. We take the coordinates $x^0 = ct$, $x^1 = r$, $x^2 = \vartheta$, $x^3 = \varphi$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} g_{00} &= e^\nu, & g_{11} &= -e^\lambda \\ g_{22} &= -r^2, & g_{33} &= -r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and zero otherwise. The components with upper indices are the inverse of this. The determinant comes out to be

$$g = \det g_{\mu\nu} = -e^{\nu+\lambda} r^4 \sin^2 \vartheta. \quad (3.3)$$

Next we compute the Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma_{00}^0 = \frac{1}{2}\nu', \quad \Gamma_{00}^1 = \frac{1}{2}\nu' e^{\nu-\lambda}, \quad \Gamma_{11}^1 = \frac{1}{2}\lambda', \quad \Gamma_{22}^1 = -r e^{-\lambda}$$

$$\Gamma_{33}^1 = -re^{-\lambda} \sin^2 \vartheta, \quad \Gamma_{12}^2 = \frac{1}{r}, \quad \Gamma_{33}^2 = -\sin \vartheta \cos \vartheta, \quad \Gamma_{13}^3 = \frac{1}{r}, \quad \Gamma_{23}^3 = \cot \vartheta$$

and zero otherwise, the prime denotes the derivative with respect to r always. From this the contracted curvature tensor is given by

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda}{\partial x^\lambda} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu}{\partial x^\nu} + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \Gamma_{\lambda\varrho}^\varrho - \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\varrho \Gamma_{\nu\varrho}^\lambda.$$

We obtain

$$R_{00} = \frac{1}{2}e^{\nu-\lambda}(\nu'' + \frac{1}{2}\nu'^2 - \frac{1}{2}\nu'\lambda' + \frac{2}{r}\nu') \quad (3.4)$$

$$R_{11} = -\frac{1}{2}\nu'' + \frac{1}{4}\lambda'(\nu' + \frac{4}{r}) - \frac{1}{4}\nu'^2 \quad (3.5)$$

$$R_{22} = e^{-\lambda}(\frac{r}{2}\lambda' - \frac{r}{2}\nu' - 1) + 1 \quad (3.6)$$

$$R_{33} = \sin^2 \vartheta R_{22}. \quad (3.7)$$

For the scalar curvature we then find

$$R = e^{-\lambda}\left(\nu'' + \frac{1}{2}\nu'^2 - \frac{1}{2}\nu'\lambda' + 2\frac{\nu'}{r} - 2\frac{\lambda'}{r} + \frac{2}{r^2}\right) - \frac{2}{r^2}. \quad (3.8)$$

Now we are ready to calculate

$$G_\mu^\nu \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} R_\mu^\nu - \frac{1}{2}\delta_\mu^\nu R, \quad (3.9)$$

$$G_0^0 = e^{-\lambda}\left(\frac{\lambda'}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2}\right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \quad (3.10)$$

$$G_1^1 = -e^{-\lambda}\left(\frac{\nu'}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\right) + \frac{1}{r^2} \quad (3.11)$$

$$G_2^2 = G_3^3 = -e^{-\lambda}\left(\frac{\nu''}{r} + \frac{\nu'^2}{4} - \frac{\nu'\lambda'}{4} - \frac{\lambda'}{2r} + \frac{\nu'}{2r}\right). \quad (3.12)$$

The energy momentum tensor of ordinary matter is assumed in the diagonal form

$$t_\alpha^\beta = \text{diag}(qc^2, -p_1, -p_2, -p_3) \quad (3.13)$$

where $q(r)$ is the (inertial) mass density and $p_j(r)$ the pressure components.

After collecting these well known results [5] we turn to the new contributions involving the vector-graviton field v^κ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\alpha(\sqrt{-g}g^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta) &= -\sin \vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(e^{(\nu-\lambda)/2}r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right) - e^{(\nu+\lambda)/2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta}\left(\sin \vartheta \frac{\partial}{\partial \vartheta}\right) - \\ &\quad - \frac{e^{(\nu+\lambda)/2}}{\sin \vartheta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

the Laplace-Beltrami equation (2.23) can be written in the form

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(e^{(\nu-\lambda)/2}r^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)v_\kappa = e^{(\nu+\lambda)/2}L^2v_\kappa, \quad (3.15)$$

where L^2 is the quantum mechanical angular momentum operator squared. Consequently the angular dependence of v_κ is given by spherical harmonics $Y_l^m(\vartheta, \varphi)$:

$$v_\kappa(r, \vartheta, \varphi) = v_\kappa(r) Y_l^m(\vartheta, \varphi)$$

and the radial function $v_\kappa(r)$ satisfies the following radial equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(e^{(\nu-\lambda)/2} r^2 \frac{\partial v_\kappa(r)}{\partial r} \right) = l(l+1) e^{(\nu+\lambda)/2} v_\kappa(r). \quad (3.16)$$

For what follows we consider the case $l = 0$ where we get the simple result

$$v'_\kappa(r) = \frac{C_\kappa}{r^2} e^{(\lambda-\nu)/2}, \quad (3.17)$$

here $C_\kappa, \kappa = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are constants of integration.

Now we are ready to write down the modified radial Einstein equations. In (2.22) we raise the second index

$$G_\mu^\nu = \frac{8\pi G}{c^3} \left(\frac{1}{c} t_\mu^\nu + \delta_\mu^\nu g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha v_\lambda \partial_\beta v^\lambda - 2 \partial_\mu v_\kappa \partial^\nu v^\kappa \right). \quad (3.18)$$

$$G_0^0 = e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{\lambda'}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right) + \frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^3} (q(r)c + w_0(r)) \quad (3.19)$$

$$-G_1^1 = e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{\nu'}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right) - \frac{1}{r^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^3} \left(\frac{p_1(r)}{c} + w_1(r) \right) \quad (3.20)$$

$$-G_2^2 = e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{\nu''}{2} + \frac{\nu'^2}{4} - \frac{\nu'\lambda'}{4} - \frac{\lambda'}{2r} + \frac{\nu'}{2r} \right) = \frac{8\pi G}{c^3} \left(\frac{p_2(r)}{c} + w_2(r) \right), \quad (3.21)$$

$$-G_3^3 = e^{-\lambda} \left(\frac{\nu''}{2} + \frac{\nu'^2}{4} - \frac{\nu'\lambda'}{4} - \frac{\lambda'}{2r} + \frac{\nu'}{2r} \right) = \frac{8\pi G}{c^3} \left(\frac{p_3(r)}{c} + w_3(r) \right), \quad (3.22)$$

where w_0, w_1, w_2, w_3 are the contributions from the v -field in (3.18). The two equations (3.19) (3.20) together with (3.15) are sufficient to calculate all unknown functions λ, ν, v_κ . That means the equations (3.21) (3.22) are additional constraints. It is a delicate problem to satisfy them. If we assume $p_2 = p_3$, we must have $w_2 = w_3$ and this is only possible for $l = 0$. Then v_κ depends on r only. We restrict ourselves to this case in the following, i.e. $p_j = p$.

From (3.17) we now obtain the following contributions of the v -field

$$\begin{aligned} g^{11} \partial_1 v_\kappa \partial_1 v^\kappa &= \frac{1}{r^4} e^{-\nu} (C_1^2 + C_2^2 + C_3^2 - C_0^2) \\ &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{C}{r^4} e^{-\nu} \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

and zero otherwise, so that

$$\begin{aligned} w_0 &= \frac{C}{r^4} e^{-\nu} = w_2 = w_3 \\ w_1 &= -w_0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

We see in (3.19) that the mass density $q(r)$ gets enlarged by the quantity $w_0(r)/c$ which we shall call dark density. We omit the notion “matter” because this energy density comes from the vector-graviton field, it is a relic of the massive graviton.

Next by suitable combination we simplify the equations. Adding (3.19) to (3.20) we get

$$\frac{e^{-\lambda}}{r}(\lambda' + \nu') = g(q + \frac{p}{c^2}) \quad (3.25)$$

where

$$g = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2}. \quad (3.26)$$

Eliminating p from (3.20) and (3.21) we obtain

$$\nu'' = 2e^\lambda \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} + 2g\frac{w_0}{c} \right) + \frac{\nu'\lambda'}{2} - \frac{\nu'^2}{2} + \frac{\lambda' + \nu'}{r} + \frac{2}{r^2}. \quad (3.27)$$

Next we differentiate (3.20) with respect to r and use (3.27):

$$g\left(\frac{p'}{c^2} - \frac{w'_0}{c}\right) = -e^{-\lambda}\frac{\nu'}{2r}(\lambda' + \nu') + 4\frac{g}{cr}w_0. \quad (3.28)$$

Substituting (3.25) inhere we finally arrive at

$$\frac{p'}{c^2} = -\frac{\nu'}{2}\left(q + \frac{p}{c^2} + \frac{2}{c}w_0\right) \quad (3.29)$$

where (3.24) has been used. This differential equation for the pressure will be used instead of the second order equation (3.21) in the following.

For a first orientation we want to solve the equations neglecting the ordinary matter, i.e. $q = 0 = p$. Then we find from (3.25) $\lambda' + \nu' = 0$ or

$$\nu(r) = D_1 - \lambda(r) \quad (3.30)$$

where D_1 is a constant of integration. Using this is (3.24) we have

$$w_0 = \frac{D_2}{r^4}e^\lambda. \quad (3.31)$$

Multiplying (3.19) by

$$y = re^{-\lambda} \quad (3.32)$$

we write the equation in the form

$$y' = 1 - 8\pi\frac{D_3}{ry} \quad (3.33)$$

where

$$D_3 = \frac{GD_2}{c^3}. \quad (3.34)$$

Although this equation (3.33) looks rather simple, we did not succeed in expressing the solution by known special funtions, but a numerical solution can be easily obtained. The dark density w_0 (3.31) is given in terms of y (3.32) by

$$w_0 = \frac{D_2}{r^3y(r)}. \quad (3.35)$$

For large r we find the following power series expansion

$$y = r - a_1 + \frac{a_2}{r} + \frac{a_3}{r^2} + \dots \quad (3.36)$$

where

$$a_2 = 8\pi D_3, \quad a_3 = 4\pi D_3 a_1, \dots \quad (3.37)$$

a_1 is related to the total mass M by the Schwarzschild relation

$$a_1 = \frac{2GM}{c^2}. \quad (3.38)$$

This can be taken as the start of the numerical integration of (3.33) from large to small r . Note that the Schwarzschild horizon disappears, but there remains a singularity at $r = 0$. It is of the form

$$y = 4\sqrt{-\pi D_3 \log r + \alpha + \frac{\beta}{\log r}}.$$

This is too weak to render $r^2 w_0$ (3.35) integrable at $r = 0$. We must conclude that the classical theory breaks down at $r = 0$. This is a phenomenon known from ordinary Einstein equations.

Without better options we cut off the singularity by assuming $w_0 = \text{const.}$ inside a core radius r_c , for $r \geq r_c$ w_0 is given by (3.35). The core radius r_c is determined as follows: We compute the total mass

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \frac{4\pi}{c} \left(\int_0^{r_c} + \int_{r_c}^{\infty} \right) r^2 w_0(r) \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{c} \left(\frac{r_c^3}{3} w_0(r_c) + D_2 \int_{r_c}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{ry} \right) \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{c} \left(\frac{D_2}{3y(r_c)} + \frac{D_2}{8\pi D_3} \int_{r_c}^{\infty} (1 - y') dr \right) \\ &= \frac{4\pi}{3c} \frac{D_2}{y(r_c)} - \frac{c^2}{2G} (-a_1 + r_c - y(r_c)) \end{aligned}$$

where (3.34) has been used. By (3.38) M drops out and we get r_c as the zero of the equation

$$r_c - y(r_c) = \frac{8\pi}{3} \frac{D_3}{y(r_c)}. \quad (3.39)$$

Varying D_3 this enables us to vary r_c and the radius of the halo, if we keep its total mass M , i.e. a_1 (3.38) fixed. The differential equation (3.33) is invariant under the scale transformation

$$r \rightarrow \alpha r, \quad y \rightarrow \alpha y, \quad D_3 \rightarrow \alpha^2 D_3, \quad a_1 \rightarrow \alpha a_1. \quad (3.40)$$

This implies that the length scale of the halo can be freely adjusted.

In astrophysical applications we measure r in kpc. Let us consider a halo of 10^{14} solar masses, then a_1 (3.38) is equal to 10^{-2} (in kpc). Taking D_3 of the order 10^{-3} we find rather small core radii of a few kpc. Precisely, our numerical results agree with the linear relation

$$r_c = 3352 D_3. \quad (3.41)$$

Outside r_c , y is given by the four terms in (3.36) up to promille accuracy. That means the dark density profile (3.35) can be approximated by

$$w_0 = \frac{D_2}{r} \frac{1}{r^3 - a_1 r^2 + a_2 r + a_3}, \quad r \geq r_c. \quad (3.42)$$

Then the dark mass interior to r is given by

$$M(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r w_0 r^2 dr = 4\pi D_2 \int_0^r \frac{r dr}{r^3 - a_1 r^2 + a_2 r + a_3}. \quad (3.43)$$

Expanding this for small r (but $> r_c$) we find a linear dependence

$$M(r) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 r + \dots \quad (3.44)$$

This corresponds to constant orbital velocity as observed in dark halos of spiral galaxies.

On the other hand Navarro, Frenk and White [6] have found the following profile

$$\varrho(r) = \frac{\varrho_s}{r(1 + \frac{r}{r_s})^2}$$

from N-body simulations, where r_s is the so-called scaling radius and ϱ_s the scaling density. In contrast to our result (3.42), $\varrho(r)$ only decreases as $1/r^3$ for large r . But then $r^2\varrho(r)$ is not integrable at infinity. A profile with $1/r^4$ tail was proposed by Hernquist [7] for elliptical galaxies. It remains to be seen which profile gives the best agreement with reality.

Acknowledgement. Illuminating discussions with Dan Grigore, Klaus Fredenhagen, Andreas Aste and computational aid by Roland Bernet are gratefully acknowledged.

References

- [1] G. Scharf, Quantum Gauge Theories - A True Ghost Story, Wiley-Interscience, New York 2001
- [2] D.R. Grigore, G. Scharf, Gen.Relativ.Grav. **37** (6) 1075 (2005), hep-th/0404157
- [3] D.R. Grigore, G. Scharf, Massive gravity from descent equations, hep-th/0711.0869
- [4] J.B. Berchtold, G. Scharf, Gen.Relativ.Grav. **39** (9) (2007) 1489, hep-th/0702181
- [5] R. Adler, M. Bazin, M. Schiffer, Introduction to general relativity, McGraw-Hill, New York 1965
- [6] J.F. Navarro, C.S. Frenk, S.D.M. White, Astrophys.Journ. **462** 563 (1996)
- [7] L. Hernquist, Astrophys.Journ. **356** 359 (1990)
- [8] E.W. Kolb, M.S. Turner, The Early Universe, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Redwood City 1990